

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/563,461                        | 01/05/2006                | Warren Smook         | 9031-1017           | 1343             |  |
| 466<br>YOUNG & TI                 | 7590 04/13/200<br>HOMPSON | 9                    | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| 209 Madison Street LEWIS, TISHA D |                           |                      |                     |                  |  |
| Suite 500<br>ALEXANDRI            | A VA 22314                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                   | .,                        |                      | 3655                |                  |  |
|                                   |                           |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                                   |                           |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                                   |                           |                      | 04/13/2009          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Ī | Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|---|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
|   | 10/563,461      | SMOOK ET AL. |  |  |
|   | Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |  |
|   | TISHA D. LEWIS  | 3655         |  |  |

|  |  | TISHA D. LEWIS  | 3655  |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| The  | MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FIL  | ED 03 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP   | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A   | LLOWANCE.   |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply value application application</li> </ol>    | was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br>, applicant must timely file one of the following<br>in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe<br>ed Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C   | the same day as filing a Notice of<br>replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance            | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the<br>(3) a Request         |
|  | riod for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date  | of the final rejection.   |   |  |
| b) The pe<br>no ever   | riod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A<br>nt, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la<br>er Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  | g date of the final rejection   | n.                                       |
| MONTI  | IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(  | ).  |   |  |
| have been filed is<br>under 37 CFR 1.1<br>set forth in (b) abo | may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date in the date for purposes of determining the period of ext (7a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later smed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi<br>than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria<br>inally set in the final Offic                | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
|  | of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp   | liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be  | filed within two months   | of the date of                           |
| filing the N<br>Notice of A                                    | otice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br>ppeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi  | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>  |  |   |   |  |
|  | osed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b   |   |   | cause                                    |
|  | raise new issues that would require further cor  |   | řE below);  |  |
|  | raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below<br>are not deemed to place the application in better   |   | duoina or eimplifuina ti  | on incures for                           |
|  | eal; and/or  | ter form for appear by materially rec   | racing or simplifying ti  | ie issues ioi                            |
|  | present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally reject  | ected claims.   |  |
| NO   | TE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |   |   |  |
| 4. 🔲 The amen  | dments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co   | mpliant Amendment (F  | PTOL-324).                               |
| <ol><li>Applicant</li></ol>                                    | s reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |   |   |  |
|  | posed or amended claim(s) would be all<br>ble claim(s).  | owable if submitted in a separate,  | imely filed amendmer  | nt canceling the                         |
| how the ne   | ses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ w or amended claims would be rejected is prov of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   | I be entered and an ex  | xplanation of                            |
|  | ojected to:  |   |   |  |
|  | ithdrawn from consideration: OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |  |
| 8. The affidate because a                                      | OTHER EVIDENCE<br>it or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br>pplicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br>rlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |   |   |  |
| entered be<br>showing a  | rit or other evidence filed after the date of filing cause the affidavit or other evidence failed to o good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear<br>and was not earlier presented. Se   | al and/or appellant fails<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)                        | s to provide a<br>).                     |
|  | avit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attache  | ed.                                      |
| 11. X The requ   | est for reconsideration has been considered but<br>inuation Sheet.   | does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan   | ce because:                              |
|  | attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (  | PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s) filed 2/2  | 3/2009  |  |
| 13. Other:   |  | 1 10/05/00) 1 aper 140(3). <u>Illed 2/2</u>   | <u> </u>  |  |
| April 11, 2009   |  | /TISHA D. LEWIS/  |   |  |

/TISHA D. LEWIS/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3655 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments and drawing model are acknowledged, however, the limitations "as written" are still met by the prior and of record used in the rejection grandless of the surprising (unexpected) result obtained by the inventors concerning the degrees of freedom when using the taper bearings versus the spherical bearings. These results do not make the limitations patentable over the prior at since the claims are only structurally limited as to the invention. The WO '891 reference, although using a carrier, still at least meets the limitations of having a taper bearing supported and located circumferentially (by a sleeve 50 which can correspond to the bodge labele) on which the gears are mount. This in combination with the other WO references used in the rejection would show a well known in the art to use taper bearings with mechanisms (bogie plate, sleeves, etc.) that supports gears and the bearings themselvees.

The information disclosure statements filed 2/23/2009 have been considered.